COMMITTEE ACTION SHEET

COUNCIL DOCKE	T OF	4/15/0	8	
Supplemental	☐ Adoption	Consent	Unanimous Consent	Rules Committee Consultant Review
R-				
O - 2007-156 DR	AFT			
Zero Tolerance G	raffiti Ordinance			
				
□ Reviewed □] Initiated	By PS&NS	On 9/12/07 Item No	. 2
RECOMMENDATION			- Oity O	
Approve the draft	zero tolerance	gramiti ordinani	ce and forward to the City Co	ouncii.
			·	
	,			
VOTED YEA: Ma	ienschein; Fau	ilconer, Young	g, Hueso	
VOTED NAY:				
NOT PRESENT:				
CITY CLERK: Ple	ase reference	the following	reports on the City Counc	cil Docket:
REPORT TO THE	E CITY COUN	CIL NO.		
INDEPENDENT E	BUDGET ANA	LYST NO.		
COUNCIL COMM	IITTEE CONS	ULTANT ANA	ALYSIS NO.	
OTHER:				
City Attorney's Se	eptember 7, 200	7, memorandu	m	
1				
•	,			

COUNCIL COMMITTEE CONSULTANT

5-12-08

PS&NS SEP 1 2 2007 #2

000539

THE CITY ATTORNEY

OFFICE OF

CITY OF SAN DIEGO

Michael J. Aguirre

1200 THIRD AVENUE, SUITE 1620 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101-4178 TELEPHONE (619) 236-6220 FAX (619) 236-7215

September 7, 2007

REPORT TO THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY AND NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES

PROPOSED ZERO TOLERANCE OF GRAFFITI VANDALISM ORDINANCE

SUMMARY

The Committee on Public Safety and Neighborhood Services requested that the City Attorney work collaboratively with the Committee's staff to prepare an ordinance in keeping with the Chair's proposed zero-tolerance approach to the graffiti problem in San Diego. The Committee was interested in four specific aspects of graffiti enforcement: (1) penalties for committing graffiti vandalism, (2) limiting the display or sale of implements commonly used by graffiti vandals, (3) imposing a tax on graffiti tools, with proceeds to be used for anti-graffiti education and abatement programs, and (4) increased oversight of graffiti enforcement and abatement by the Committee to ensure the effectiveness of the City's efforts.

This collaborative process has produced the draft ordinance accompanying this report. The draft ordinance requires vendors of broad-tipped indelible-ink markers commonly used by graffiti vandals to keep them secure. It further tightens security requirements for displaying graffiti tools, eliminating an exception presently in the Municipal Code that is easily abused.

The proposed ordinance also updates our Municipal Code's treatment of graffiti offenses. The effort to update the graffiti laws in the Municipal Code is spurred by an Attorney General opinion indicating that state law preempts cities from criminalizing graffiti. The draft ordinance repeals problematic provisions of the code and expresses the Council's intent that that graffiti vandals be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law, and that prosecutors seek the maximum penalties against graffiti vandals authorized by state law, including restitution to their victims.

The Committee also requested that the City Attorney evaluate whether it could impose a tax on the sale of graffiti tools, and how any such tax would need to be approved. The City has express authority to impose a sales tax on graffiti tools such as spray paint and marking pens, however doing so would require a two-thirds vote of the public in conformity with Proposition 218.

-2-

September 7, 2007

LAWS GOVERNING GRAFFITI

State Law Addressing Graffiti

State law extensively regulates graffiti, its punishment, and its abatement. The main statute discussing graffiti is Penal Code section 594, which makes graffiti punishable as a felony-misdemeanor "wobbler" (depending on the prosecutor's or judge's discretion) if the graffiti causes \$400 or greater in damage. Section 594 makes graffiti a misdemeanor if the damage is less than \$400. State law provides for enhanced penalties for graffiti committed against houses of worship or cemeteries. Penal Code §§ 594.3-594.35. Under section 594, felony graffiti violators can be sentenced to up to three years in state prison (or additional prison time depending on the offender's criminal history), up to \$10,000 in fines, full restitution to victims of their vandalism, and community service. The vandalism statute has been altered at least a half-dozen times since 1998, and the general trend has been to increase penalties for vandalism.

State law gives courts authority and discretion to require those convicted of graffiti, either as adults or juveniles, to participate in graffiti cleanup community service work. Penal Code § 594(c). Courts must order those convicted of graffiti or other crimes, whether placed on probation or not, to pay restitution to victims for damage caused by their crimes. Penal Code § 1202.4.

State law also regulates tools used to commit graffiti, discussed in more detail below. State law and the California Supreme Court afford cities authority to regulate the sale of graffiti tools and implements. Penal Code § 594.5; Sherwin-Williams Co. v. City of Los Angeles, 4 Cal. 4th 893 (1993).

In addition, state law authorizes local governments to create nuisance abatement and graffiti cost recovery laws. Government Code § 53069.3; Government Code § 38773 et. seq. Further, as discussed in more detail below, the City has authority to impose a sales tax on spray paint, writing and marking implements, with proceeds from the sales tax used to abate graffiti. Also, discussed in more detail below, state law gives the City authority to have juvenile probation officers recover costs of graffiti enforcement and removal. Welfare & Institutions Code §§ 742.10-742.22.

State law, however, supersedes cities' ordinances that make committing graffiti a crime. A 1995 Attorney General opinion, 78 Op. Att'y Gen. 143, concluded that "A charter city ordinance making it a crime to place graffiti upon real or personal property located within the city would be void due to its duplication of state criminal statutes." Further, the field of criminal sentencing and consequences of crime is likely preempted by state law. Abbott v. City of Los Angeles, 53 Cal. 2d 674 (1960).

San Diego Municipal Codes Regulating Graffiti

Section 54.0405(a) of the Municipal Code prohibits graffiti "[t]o the extent not otherwise provided for by state law...". Because Penal Code section 594 prohibits graffiti anywhere, graffiti bans are always "provided for by state law." The Municipal Code, to the extent it criminalizes graffiti, is likely unenforceable. Prosecutors almost always charge offenders with violating the state statute instead of Section 54.0405(a). The State is authorized to make some offenses felonies, and penalties under state vandalism laws are higher than the Municipal Code's penalties. Vandalism is a "wobbler," which is an offense that can be charged as a felony or misdemeanor.

State law does allow local governments to address graffiti violations with civil penalties, and allows localities to regulate instruments and tools used in creating graffiti. Section 54.0404 authorizes (and Penal Code section 594.5 permits) the City to seek civil penalties, injunctions, or other administrative remedies typically used in code enforcement. Municipal Code §§ 54.0404-05. City ordinances also allow the City to administratively seek to remove the graffiti itself from public or private property. Municipal Code §§ 54.0407-09. Existing law also provides for cost recovery for City expenses in addressing graffiti (§ 54.0410), parental responsibility for graffiti by juveniles (§ 54.0411), and authorizes rewards for information leading to the apprehension or conviction of taggers (§ 54.0413).

The Municipal Code also regulates the display and sale of graffiti tools. Section 54.0414 limits the display of certain graffiti tools, making them less accessible to minors. Section 58.07.1 prohibits minors from possessing, and others from furnishing to minors, aerosol spray cans.

THE DRAFT ORDINANCE

The draft ordinance, created in a collaborative effort between the Committee's staff and the City Attorney's Office, amends Sections 54.0401, 54.0405, and 54.0414 and repeals Section 54.0412 of the Municipal Code. Through these changes and through an uncodified section, the draft ordinance makes the following changes:

1. Requiring Vendors to Secure Broad-Tipped Indelible Ink Markers.

Existing law requires vendors to keep spray paint and glass etching products secure, so that they are less subject to shoplifting. The draft ordinance adds to the list of objects that must be secured broad-tipped indelible-ink markers, devices commonly used by graffiti vandals. Markers that must be secured are those with a tip that is 4 millimeters or broader and that use ink that is not water-soluble. This definition conforms with that used by the cities of Los Angeles and San Francisco.

-4-

September 7, 2007

2. Tightening Security Regulations for Graffiti Implements.

Existing law requires that vendors of graffiti implements keep these implements in a secure area to prevent shoplifting. One method for accomplishing this is to keep graffiti implements in an open area that is under constant supervision by store employees. The Neighborhood Code Compliance Department indicates that some vendors purport to follow these rules, but do not constantly supervise their stock of graffiti tools, allowing for easy access to them by shoplifters. The draft ordinance removes this option for securing graffiti implements, leaving vendors with only more effective methods to secure their stock of implements that may be used for graffiti.

3. Updating the Municipal Code and Seeking Aggressive Prosecution of Graffiti Offenders.

Currently, the Municipal Code considers graffiti vandalism to be both a crime and a nuisance. As noted above, however, the Attorney General has opined that state law has preempted these types of laws, making them void. The draft ordinance removes the problematic provisions from the Municipal Code and encourages prosecutors to pursue graffiti vandalism to the fullest extent permitted by state law. This benefits law enforcement by eliminating confusion among police officers and other law enforcement personnel about what offenses to charge those who commit graffiti vandalism.

4. Increasing Oversight of Graffiti Enforcement and Abatement Efforts to Ensure Their Effectiveness.

The draft ordinance adds a new requirement that the Mayor's Office and the City Attorney's Office annually report to this Committee about graffiti abatement and enforcement efforts. This permits the Committee to exercise more oversight of graffiti abatement and enforcement efforts.

LEGISLATION REGULATING THE SALE OF GRAFFITI TOOLS

State Laws

Penal Code section 594.1(a) prohibits any person or business, other than a parent or guardian, from furnishing to a minor any "etching cream or aerosol container of paint that is capable of defacing property..." The section provides for a number of exceptions to this prohibition. The law does not apply to sales of 6-ounce containers of etching cream or aerosol paint to minors under the supervision of the minor's "parent, guardian, instructor, or employer." Penal Code § 594.1(a)(4). Minors are also authorized to use aerosol paint or etching cream at school-related activities under the supervision of instructors. Penal Code § 594.1(a)(5).

Retailers selling etching cream or aerosol paint must post signs indicating that vandalism is a crime. Penal Code § 594.1(c).

It is illegal for anyone to possess etching cream or aerosol paint in public view at any "posted public facility, park, playground, swimming pool, beach, or other recreational area... unless he or she has first received valid authorization..." Penal Code § 594.1(d). Section 594.1(e) also prohibits minors from possessing etching cream or aerosol paint in public for the purpose of defacing property. Section 594.2 prohibits possession of drill bits and glass-etching equipment for the purpose of defacing property.

Section 594.5 gives local government regulatory authority over the sale of graffiti tools and over civil remedies for graffiti blight: "Nothing in this code shall invalidate an ordinance of, nor be construed to [preclude] the adoption of an ordinance by, a city... if the ordinance regulates the sale of aerosol containers of paint or other liquid substances capable of defacing property or sets forth civil administrative regulations, procedures, or civil penalties governing the placement of graffiti or other inscribed material on public or private, real or personal property."

Local Laws and Potential for Amendments

Municipal Code section 58.07.1(a) makes it illegal to sell or furnish aerosol spray paint to a minor unless the person who sells or furnishes the paint provides supervision over the minor's use of it. Subdivision (b) makes it illegal for minors to have aerosol paint on public or private property unless the minor has the consent of the property owner.

Unlike Penal Code section 594.1, the Municipal Code does not prevent the sale of glass etching products or Sharpies or other marking pens to minors. Nor does the Municipal Code prohibit possession of glass-etching materials or Sharpies by minors. The sale/furnishing provision in Section 58.07.1(a) also could be tightened up. As written, Section 58.07.1(a) would not prohibit a 19-year-old tagger from handing a spray-paint can to a 17-year-old tagger if the 19-year-old watches the juvenile deface property. The Committee might consider conforming Section 58.07.1 with the restrictions in Penal Code section 594.1.

The Committee might also broaden the ban on minors possessing graffiti tools in Section 58.07.1(b). That prohibition could be expanded to cover glass etching products and certain marking pens in addition to aerosol paint containers. Further, the ordinance could be modified to also require supervision by a parent, guardian, legal employer, or instructor when a minor possesses aerosol paint, glass etching products, or certain marking pens.

Particularly if the Committee is inclined to expand the prohibition on sales or furnishing of these items to minors, or possession of these items by minors, the Committee might consider what exceptions ought to apply. State law authorizes minors to use these devices when supervised by employers or instructors. In order to draft such changes, our Office needs

additional guidance from the Committee about whether, and to what extent, the Committee would want to further regulate sales of these items, as well as what, if any additional exceptions the Committee would like to add.

This past February, a federal appeals court in New York placed some limits on a city's prohibition on sales of graffiti tools to minors, relying on the First Amendment as the basis for its rulings. The court in *Vincenty v. Bloomberg*, 476 F.3d 74 (2d Cir. 2007) considered a New York City ban on the sales to everyone under 21 years old of graffiti tools and banned possession, other than on their own property, of graffiti tools by those under 21. The court found that applying the ordinance to those between 18-21 years old (adults who were under 21) violated free speech rights. The opinion does not really explain why a prohibition on graffiti tools sales actually implicates free speech rights, and it seems to be a one-of-a-kind opinion. Nonetheless, if the Committee is interested in affecting sales of graffiti tools to those over 18 years old, it may be more prudent to impose reasonable restrictions rather than an outright ban.

If the Committee wishes to address the sale or possession of graffiti implements, the City Attorney's Office would need guidance from the Committee about how to address the following questions: Exactly what items sold to minors should be prohibited? Exactly which items should minors be barred from possessing? Should there be any exception allowing minors to possess these items when under the supervision of their parents, employers, or instructors? Should there be exceptions allowing minors to possess these items in certain places, such as certain employers or art classes?

TAXING GRAFFITI TOOLS

The Committee has requested an opinion about whether a city could tax graffiti tools, and what type of vote would be required to put such a tax in place. The City has authority to tax graffiti tools, but to impose such a tax would require a two-thirds vote of the electorate. Revenue & Taxation Code § 7287 et. seq. authorizes city governments to enact a sales tax on graffiti tools and marking implements. The City could impose up to a \$0.10 tax per paint container and up to a \$0.05 per pen sales tax. Rev. & Tax. Code § 7287(a). The City would need to make a contract with the State Board of Equalization to collect the tax, and that agency would be entitled to recover its costs. Rev. & Tax. Code § 7287.2(a), 7287.6(a). Revenues from the tax could only be used for "removal and prevention of graffiti, or for educational programs for at-risk youth to combat graffiti vandalism..." Rev. & Tax Code § 7287.6(b).

Article XIIIC, section 1, of the California Constitution, added by Proposition 218, defines a "special tax" as "any tax imposed for specific purposes, including a tax imposed for specific purposes, which is placed into a general fund." Article XIIIC, section 2(a) says that all local government taxes are either general (taxes used for general government purposes) or special taxes. Section 2(d) says in pertinent part, "No local government may impose, extend, or increase

-7-

September 7, 2007

any special tax unless and until that tax is submitted to the electorate and approved by a two-thirds vote."

The tax authorized by Revenue & Taxation Code sections 7287-7287.10 is a "special tax." It is designed to curb graffiti, and proceeds from the tax may only be used for graffiti cleanup, prevention, and educational activities. As a special tax, it can only be approved by a two-thirds vote of the citizens of the City of San Diego.

RECOMMENDATION

The City Attorney recommends that the Committee approve the attached draft ordinance for consideration by the City Council. If the Committee is interested in taking additional actions to regulate or tax graffiti implements, the Committee must provide our Office with additional guidance.

Respectfully submitted,

MICHAEL J. AGUIRRE

City Attorney

DS:lb RC-2007-14

(O-2007-156 **DRAFT**)

ORDINANCE NUMBER O	(NEW	SERIES)
DATE OF FINAL PASSAGE				

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 5, ARTICLE 4, DIVISION 4, OF THE SAN DIEGO MUNICIPAL CODE BY AMENDING SECTIONS 54.0401, 54.0405, 54.0412, AND 54.0414, ALL RELATING TO GRAFFITI ABATEMENT

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of San Diego has found it necessary to take a new, zero-tolerance approach to the epidemic of graffiti vandalism which has plagued the City of San Diego; and

WHEREAS, to implement this new, zero-tolerance approach to graffiti vandalism, it is necessary to update the City's Municipal Code provisions dealing with graffiti; and

WHEREAS, courts have recognized the longstanding and unsettling link between graffiti and the type of violent activity by criminal street gangs present in the City of San Diego; and

WHEREAS, the People of the State of California have recognized this link when in 2000 they overwhelmingly enacted Proposition 21; and

WHEREAS, notwithstanding Proposition 21's passage and its enhanced penalties for juvenile, gang, and graffiti offenders, the graffiti problem persists; and

WHEREAS, courts have recognized that many graffiti vandals obtain the implements used to commit graffiti vandalism by shoplifting these items from stores; and

WHEREAS, courts recognize that ordinances requiring merchants selling implements commonly used by graffiti vandals may limit graffiti vandalism and have rejected challenges to these ordinances; and

WHEREAS, the Council for the City of San Diego deems it proper to exercise more oversight over the efforts made by local law enforcement, prosecution, and nuisance abatement professionals' efforts to address the graffiti problem in the City of San Diego to ensure those efforts' effectiveness, and

WHEREAS, the City Council seeks to have graffiti vandals receive all penalties contemplated by state law, including up to three years in state prison and \$10,000 fines for felony vandals, full restitution orders to crime victims, community service requirements so that offenders clean up the graffiti they create, and counseling requirements; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT ORDAINED, by the City Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

Section 1. That Chapter 5, Article 4, Division 4 of the San Diego Municipal Code is amended by amending section 54.0401 to read as follows:

§54.0401 Declaration of Purpose

- (a) It is the purpose and intent of this Division to provide a procedure for removal of graffiti from surfaces on public or private property in order to reduce blight and deterioration within the City and to protect the public health and safety.
- (b) The City finds and determines that graffiti is obnoxious and constitutes

 both a public nuisance and a crime, and that it must be abated to avoid the

 detrimental impact of such graffiti on the City and its residents and

 prevent the further spread of graffiti.
- (c) The City further finds that graffiti is often related to activities of criminal street gangs, and its eradication and the aggressive prosecution of those who defile others' property with graffiti is a useful component in the City

of San Diego's efforts to combat activity by criminal street gangs. In order to further the City's efforts to combat criminal street gangs, it is the City's desire that all offenders, whether adult or juvenile, who violate the City's anti-graffiti laws be prosecuted aggressively, be compelled to pay victim restitution, and if granted probation to be compelled to clean up graffiti in the City of San Diego.

Section 2. That Chapter 5, Article 4, Division 4 of the San Diego Municipal Code is amended by amending section 54.0405 to read as follows

§54.0405 Graffiti Prohibited

- (a) It is the intent of the People of the City of San Diego that all perpetrators of graffiti vandalism be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law, and that prosecutors seek stiff penalties, including prison or jail time, full and timely payment of restitution to graffiti vandalism victims, and community service as authorized by state law.
- (b) It is unlawful for any responsible person, to maintain graffiti that has been placed upon, or to allow graffiti to remain upon, any surface within that person's control, possession or ownership when the graffiti is visible from the street or other public property.

Section 3. That Chapter 5, Article 4, Division 4 of the San Diego Municipal Code is amended to delete section 54.0412.

Section 4. That Chapter 5, Article 4, Division 4 of the San Diego Municipal Code is amended by amending section 54.0414 to read as follows:

§54.0414 Restriction on Display of Graffiti Instruments for Sale

- (a) It is unlawful for any person, firm or entity engaged in a commercial enterprise, to display for sale, trade or exchange, any aerosol paint container, marking pens with tips exceeding four millimeters in width containing anything other than a solution which can be removed with water after it dries, or glass etching product except in an area from which the public shall be securely precluded without employee assistance.
- (b) The only two acceptable methods for displaying aerosol paint containers, marking pens with tips exceeding four millimeters in width containing anything other than a solution which can be removed with water after it dries, and glass etching products shall be by containment in: (1) a completely enclosed cabinet or other storage device which shall be permanently affixed to a building or building structure, and which shall at all times, except during access by authorized representatives, remain securely locked; or (2) in an enclosed area behind a sales or service counter from which the public is precluded from entry.

Section 5. The Mayor and City Attorney are required to provide annual reports to the Committee on Public Safety & Neighborhood Services. The report shall describe efforts: 1) to apprehend and convict graffiti offenders, 2) to seek civil or administrative relief from graffiti offenses, and 3) to abate graffiti in the City of San Diego.

Section 6. That a full reading of this ordinance is dispensed with prior to its passage, a written or printed copy having been available to the City Council and the public prior to the day of passage.

Section 7. This ordinance shall	take effect and be in force on the thirtieth day after its
final passage.	
APPROVED: MICHAEL J. AGUIRR	E, City Attorney
Ву	<u> </u>
David M. Stotland Deputy City Attorney	
DS:lb	
08/22/07 DRAFT Dept: Police O-2007-156	
	nance was passed by the Council of the City of San
	ELIZABETH S. MALAND, City Clerk
	By Deputy City Clerk
Approved:	
(date)	JERRY SANDERS, Mayor
Vetoed:	
(date)	JERRY SANDERS, Mayor

STRIKEOUT ORDINANCE

OLD LANGUAGE: STRIKEOUT NEW LANGUAGE: UNDERLINE

(O-2007-156 **DRAFT**)

ORDINANCE NUMBER O	(NEW SERIES)
DATE OF FINAL PASSAGE	

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 5, ARTICLE 4, DIVISION 4, OF THE SAN DIEGO MUNICIPAL CODE BY AMENDING SECTIONS 54.0401, 54.0405, 54.0412, AND 54.0414, ALL RELATING TO GRAFFITI ABATEMENT

§54.0401 Declaration of Purpose

- (a) and (b) [No change in text.]
- street gangs, and its eradication and the aggressive prosecution of those who defile others' property with graffiti is a useful component in the City of San Diego's efforts to combat activity by criminal street gangs. In order to further the City's efforts to combat criminal street gangs, it is the City's desire that all offenders, whether adult or juvenile, who violate the City's anti-graffiti laws be prosecuted aggressively, be compelled to pay victim restitution, and if granted probation to be compelled to clean up graffiti in the City of San Diego.

§54.0405 Graffiti Prohibited

(a) To the extent not otherwise provided for by state law, it is unlawful for any person to place graffiti upon any public or private property within the City of San Diego. It is the intent of the People of the City of San Diego

that all perpetrators of graffiti vandalism be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law, and that prosecutors seek stiff penalties, including prison or jail time, full and timely payment of restitution to graffiti vandalism victims, and community service as authorized by state law.

(b) [No change in text.]

§54.0414 Restriction on Display of Graffiti Instruments for Sale

- (a) It is unlawful for any person, firm or entity engaged in a commercial enterprise, to display for sale, trade or exchange, any aerosol paint container, marking pens with tips exceeding four millimeters in width containing anything other than a solution which can be removed with water after it dries, or glass etching product except in an area from which the public shall be securely precluded without employee assistance.
- (b) The only two Three such acceptable methods for displaying aerosol paint containers, marking pens with tips exceeding four millimeters in width containing anything other than a solution which can be removed with water after it dries, and glass etching products shall be by containment in:

 (1) a completely enclosed cabinet or other storage device which shall be permanently affixed to a building or building structure, and which shall at all times, except during access by authorized representatives, remain securely locked; or (2) in an enclosed area behind a sales or service counter from which the public is precluded from entry; or (3) in an area under direct visual supervision of employees at all times during business hours.

		REQUI	EST FOR COL		TION			1. CERTIFICATE NUME (FOR AUDITOR'S US	
TO: 2. FROM (ORIGINATING DEPARTMENT):					3. DATE:	·			
CITY ATTORNEY PS&NS COM			<i>M</i> ITTEE				September 25, 2007		
4. SUBJECT:					September	25, 2007			
		ZERO TO	LERANCE GRA	AFFITI OF	RDINA	ANCE			
5. PRIMARY CONTACT (NAME, PHONE & MAIL STA.)						(NAME, PHONE & MA	•	7. CHECK BOX	
Lea V. Fields 7180 MS-3A Ed Ketcham 533-3984 MS-3A						COUNCIL IS	ATTACHED		
			8.COMPLE	TE FOR ACC	COUNT	ING PURPOSE	ES		
FUND							9. ADDIT	ONAL INFORMATION / ES	TIMATED COST:
DEPT.				-					
ORGANIZ	ACCOUNT			- 		- 			
JOB ORD									•
C.I.P. NU	1								
TNUOMA	•								
ROUTE	APPROVING		10. I	ROUTING AN	ROUTE	APPROVING	1		DATE
(#)	AUTHORITY	APPROVA	L SIGNATURE	SIGNED	(#)	AUTHORITY	APPR	OVAL SIGNATURE	SIGNED
1	ORIGINATING DEPARTMENT	X PS&NS	Rivera	10/16/01	8	DEPUTY CHIEF	X JILL OLEN	·	
2	EAS	X BOB MANIS	the Och	10/17/07	9	coo			,
3	-		. 0	, ,	10	CITY ATTORNEY	X DAVE STOTLA	ND A4	713/11
4	LIAISON OFFICE	X ED PLANK	Sex No. 1	16 360	11	ORIGINATING DEPARTMENT	X PS&NS		<u> </u>
5 .	FINANCIAL MGMT.	X KEVIN JONES	mal Chron	11/2/07		DOCKET COORD: _	<u> </u>	COUNCIL LIAISON:	128/08C
6	NEIGHBORHOOD CODE COMPL.	X MELODY NEGRE E	flux Val	11/4/07	lacksquare	COUNCIL D	sров 💢	CONSENT AD	1 0
7	POLICE DEPT.	x					REFER TO:	COUNCIL DA	
11. PREF	PARATION OF:	RES	DLUTION(S)	□ ORDINA	NCE(S)	□ A	GREEMENT(S)	☐ DEED	(S)
Implements a zero tolerance approach to graffiti vandalism by updating the Municipal Code provisions dealing with graffiti. Identifies graffiti as both a crime and a nuisance. Encourages prosecution of graffiti vandalism to the fullest extent of the law. Precludes public access, without employee assistance, to certain equipment used to produce									
-			ommittee oversi			•		. •	
	FF RECOMMENDATION							 	
1. Approve the Zero Tolerance Graffiti Ordinance as submitted by the Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee;									
12. SPEC	IAL CONDITIONS:	,,,-p							
COUNCIL DISTRICT(S): All distric		All districts	All districts						
COMMUNITY AREA(S): All c		All commur	All community areas						
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT: This activity Section 1506			ject an	d therefore no	t subject to (CEQA per CEQA	Guidelines		
HOUSING IMPACT: N		None.	None.						
<u>OT</u>	HER ISSUES	:	None.			•			
						·			

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY SHEET CITY OF SAN DIEGO

DATE ISSUED:

October 16, 2007

REPORT NO:

ATTENTION:

Council President and City Council

ORIGINATING DEPARTMENT: Council District 5

SUBJECT:

Zero Tolerance Graffiti Ordinance

COUNCIL DISTRICT(S):

All

CONTACT/PHONE NUMBER: John Rivera (619) 533-3982

REQUESTED ACTION:

Council approval is requested for amending the Municipal Code regarding zero tolerance of graffiti vandalism in the City of San Diego.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend the approval of the Draft Zero Tolerance Graffiti Ordinance approved by the PSN&S Committee on September 12, 2007.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The ordinance implements a zero tolerance approach to graffiti vandalism by updating the Municipal Code provisions dealing with graffiti, identifies graffiti as both a crime and a nuisance, and encourages prosecution of graffiti vandalism to the fullest extent of the law. It will preclude public access, without employee assistance, to certain equipment used to produce graffiti, and provide for increased committee oversight regarding program effectiveness.

FISCAL CONSIDERATIONS:

None.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL and/or COMMITTEE ACTION:

The graffiti proposal was discussed at the PS&NS Committee meeting of March 28, 2007, and referred to the City Attorney to draft the ordinance. A report from the City Attorney regarding the Proposed Zero Tolerance of Graffiti Vandalism Ordinance and Draft Ordinance O-2007-156, were presented to the PS&NS Committee meeting at the meeting of September 12, 2007. The PS&NS Committee approved the draft ordinance and forwarded it to the City Council.

COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION AND PUBLIC OUTREACH EFFORTS:

Some vendors were spoken with and presentations were made to District 5 and District 4 community groups. The ordinance was discussed on radio and announced on various television news programs.

KEY STAKEHOLDERS AND PROJECTED IMPACTS:

San Diego Police Department, Neighborhood Code Compliance, the City Attorney, retailers of graffiti tools, all City of San Diego communities, neighborhoods, and businesses that sell spray paint, etching products, or thick, indelible ink pens that are often used in graffiti crimes.

PS&NS Committee,

John Rivera, Committee Consultant

CITY ATTORNEY DIGEST

ORDINANCE NUMBER O	_(NEW SERIES)	
ADOPTED ON		
EFFECTIVE DATE	_	
AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 5, AR	RTICLE 4,	

DIVISION 4, OF THE SAN DIEGO MUNICIPAL CODE BY AMENDING SECTIONS 54.0401, 54.0405, AND 54.0414, AND

DELETING 54.0412, ALL RELATING TO GRAFFITI

Under existing law, graffiti may be charged as a felony or misdemeanor crime under state law or as a misdemeanor under the Municipal Code. Offenses charged under the Municipal Code could result in lesser penalties, and an opinion of the Attorney General indicates that local ordinances making graffiti a crime are preempted by state law.

This ordinance would update the Municipal Code by removing the crime of graffiti from the Municipal Code, requiring that graffiti vandals be charged under state anti-graffiti laws. This ordinance also expresses the City Council's intent that graffiti vandals be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law.

Existing law limits the display by vendors of certain graffiti tools, such as spray paint and glass-etching cream. Designated graffiti tools under existing law must be kept behind a counter and inaccessible to the public, inside a fixed, locked case, or in a place open to the public under constant supervision by store employees. This ordinance would add to the list of graffiti tools indelible-ink pens with tips that are four millimeters or broader. This ordinance would also remove the provision allowing graffiti tools to be displayed in a place accessible to the public under employee supervision. Instead, this ordinance would require that graffiti tools be kept in a

fixed, locked case or behind the counter where they would be inaccessible to the public without active assistance by a vendor or his or her employees.

Existing law establishes the City Council's Committee on Public Safety & Neighborhood Services, which is charged with overseeing operations of public safety and neighborhood services-related efforts. This ordinance would require the Mayor and the City Attorney's offices to report annually to the Committee about graffiti enforcement and abatement efforts.

This ordinance contains a notice that a full reading of this ordinance is dispensed with prior to its final passage, since a written or printed copy will be available to the City Council and the public a day prior to its final passage.

This ordinance shall take effect and be in force on the thirtieth day after its final passage.

A complete copy of the Ordinance is available for inspection in the Office of the City Clerk of the City of San Diego, 2nd Floor, City Administration Building, 202 C Street, San Diego, CA 92101.

DMS:aml 01/30/08 Or.Dept:PS&NS Committee O-2008-104

ORDINANCE NUMBER O	_ (NEW SERIES)
DATE OF FINAL PASSAGE	

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 5, ARTICLE 4, DIVISION 4, OF THE SAN DIEGO MUNICIPAL CODE BY AMENDING SECTIONS 54.0401, 54.0405, AND 54.0414, AND DELETING 54.0412, ALL RELATING TO GRAFFITI

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of San Diego has found it necessary to take a new, zero-tolerance approach to the epidemic of graffiti vandalism which has plagued the City of San Diego; and

WHEREAS, to implement this new, zero-tolerance approach to graffiti vandalism, it is necessary to update the City's Municipal Code provisions dealing with graffiti; and

WHEREAS, courts have recognized the longstanding and unsettling link between graffiti and the type of violent activity by criminal street gangs present in the City of San Diego; and

WHEREAS, the People of the State of California have recognized this link when in 2000 they overwhelmingly enacted Proposition 21; and

WHEREAS, notwithstanding Proposition 21's passage and its enhanced penalties for juvenile, gang, and graffiti offenders, the graffiti problem persists; and

WHEREAS, courts have recognized that many graffiti vandals obtain the implements used to commit graffiti vandalism by shoplifting these items from stores; and

WHEREAS, courts recognize that ordinances requiring merchants selling implements commonly used by graffiti vandals may limit graffiti vandalism and have rejected challenges to these ordinances; and

WHEREAS, the Council for the City of San Diego deems it proper to exercise more oversight over the efforts made by local law enforcement, prosecution, and nuisance abatement professionals' efforts to address the graffiti problem in the City of San Diego to ensure those efforts' effectiveness, and

WHEREAS, the City Council seeks to have graffiti vandals receive all penalties contemplated by state law, including up to three years in state prison and \$10,000 fines for felony vandals, full restitution orders to crime victims, community service requirements so that offenders clean up the graffiti they create, and counseling requirements; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT ORDAINED, by the City Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

Section 1. That Chapter 5, Article 4, Division 4 of the San Diego Municipal Code is amended by amending section 54.0401 to read as follows:

§54.0401 Declaration of Purpose

- (a) It is the purpose and intent of this Division to provide a procedure for removal of *graffit*i from surfaces on public or private property in order to reduce blight and deterioration within the City and to protect the public health and safety.
- (b) The City finds and determines that *graffit*i is obnoxious and constitutes both a public nuisance and a crime, and that it must be abated to avoid the detrimental impact of such *graffit*i on the City and its residents and prevent the further spread of *graffit*i.
- (c) The City further finds that *graffiti* is often related to activities of criminal street gangs, and its eradication and the aggressive prosecution of those who defile others' property with *graffiti* is a useful component in the City

of San Diego's efforts to combat activity by criminal street gangs. In order to further the City's efforts to combat criminal street gangs, it is the City's desire that all offenders, whether adult or juvenile, who violate the City's anti- *graffiti* laws be prosecuted aggressively, be compelled to pay victim restitution, and if granted probation to be compelled to clean up *graffiti* in the City of San Diego.

Section 2. That Chapter 5, Article 4, Division 4 of the San Diego Municipal Code is amended by amending section 54.0405 to read as follows

§54.0405 Graffiti Prohibited

- (a) It is the intent of the People of the City of San Diego that all perpetrators of *graffit*i vandalism be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law, and that prosecutors seek stiff penalties, including prison or jail time, full and timely payment of restitution to *graffit*i vandalism victims, and community service as authorized by state law.
- (b) It is unlawful for any responsible person, to maintain *graffit*i that has been placed upon, or to allow *graffiti* to remain upon, any surface within that person's control, possession or ownership when the *graffit*i is visible from the street or other public property.

Section 3. That Chapter 5, Article 4, Division 4 of the San Diego Municipal Code is amended to delete section 54.0412.

Section 4. That Chapter 5, Article 4, Division 4 of the San Diego Municipal Code is amended by amending section 54.0414 to read as follows:

§54.0414 Restriction on Display of *Graffiti* Instruments for Sale

- (a) It is unlawful for any person, firm or entity engaged in a commercial enterprise, to display for sale, trade or exchange, any aerosol paint container, marking pens with tips exceeding four millimeters in width containing anything other than a solution which can be removed with water after it dries, or glass etching product except in an area from which the public shall be securely precluded without employee assistance.
- (b) The only two acceptable methods for displaying aerosol paint containers, marking pens with tips exceeding four millimeters in width containing anything other than a solution which can be removed with water after it dries, and *glass etching products* shall be by containment in: (1) a completely enclosed cabinet or other storage device which shall be permanently affixed to a building or building structure, and which shall at all times, except during access by authorized representatives, remain securely locked; or (2) in an enclosed area behind a sales or service counter from which the public is precluded from entry.

Section 5. The Mayor and City Attorney are required to provide annual reports to the Committee on Public Safety & Neighborhood Services. The report shall describe efforts: 1) to apprehend and convict graffiti offenders, 2) to seek civil or administrative relief from graffiti offenses, and 3) to abate graffiti in the City of San Diego.

Section 6. That a full reading of this ordinance is dispensed with prior to its passage, since a written was made available to the City Council and the public prior to the day of its passage.

Section 7. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force on the thirtieth day from and after its final passage.

r 3	
APPROVED: MICHAEL J. AGUIRRE, C	City Attorney
By	
DS:aml 01/30/08 Dept: PS&NS Committee O-2008-104	
I hereby certify that the following Ordinano Diego, at its meeting of	ce was passed by the Council of the City of San
	ELIZABETH S. MALAND, City Clerk
	By Deputy City Clerk
Approved:(date)	TEDDY CANDEDC M.
(date)	JERRY SANDERS, Mayor
Vetoed:(date)	JERRY SANDERS, Mayor

STRIKEOUT ORDINANCE

OLD LANGUAGE: STRIKEOUT NEW LANGUAGE: UNDERLINE

(O-2008-104)

ORDINANCE NUMBER O	(NEW SERIES)
DATE OF FINAL PASSAGE	

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 5, ARTICLE 4, DIVISION 4, OF THE SAN DIEGO MUNICIPAL CODE BY AMENDING SECTIONS 54.0401, 54.0405, AND 54.0414, AND DELETING 54.0412, ALL RELATING TO GRAFFITI

§54.0401 Declaration of Purpose

- (a) and (b) [No change in text.]
- The City further finds that graffiti is often related to activities of criminal street gangs, and its eradication and the aggressive prosecution of those who defile others' property with graffiti is a useful component in the City of San Diego's efforts to combat activity by criminal street gangs. In order to further the City's efforts to combat criminal street gangs, it is the City's desire that all offenders, whether adult or juvenile, who violate the City's anti-graffiti laws be prosecuted aggressively, be compelled to pay victim restitution, and if granted probation to be compelled to clean up graffiti in the City of San Diego.

§54.0405 Graffiti Prohibited

(a) To the extent not otherwise provided for by state law, it is unlawful for any person to place graffiti upon any public or private property within the City of San Diego. It is the intent of the People of the City of San Diego that all perpetrators of graffiti vandalism be prosecuted to the fullest extent

of the law, and that prosecutors seek stiff penalties, including prison or jail time, full and timely payment of restitution to graffiti vandalism victims, and community service as authorized by state law.

(b) [No change in text.]

§54.0412 Community Service

Any juvenile convicted of willful-misconduct-in violation of Section 54.0405(a) shall perform a minimum-of twenty—four (24) hours of community service. One

(1) parent or legal guardian-shall be present at the community service site for at least one—half of the community service hours required of the juvenile.

§54.0414 Restriction on Display of *Graffiti* Instruments for Sale

- (a) It is unlawful for any person, firm or entity engaged in a commercial enterprise, to display for sale, trade or exchange, any aerosol paint container, marking pens with tips exceeding four millimeters in width containing anything other than a solution which can be removed with water after it dries, or glass etching product except in an area from which the public shall be securely precluded without employee assistance.
- (b) The only two Three-such acceptable methods for displaying aerosol paint containers, marking pens with tips exceeding four millimeters in width containing anything other than a solution which can be removed with water after it dries, and glass etching products shall be by containment in:

 (1) a completely enclosed cabinet or other storage device which shall be permanently affixed to a building or building structure, and which shall at all times, except during access by authorized representatives, remain securely locked; or (2) in an enclosed area behind a sales or service

counter from which the public is precluded from entry; or (3) in an area under direct-visual supervision of employees at all times during business hours.

DS:aml 01/30/08 Dept:PS&NS Committee O-2008-104